| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 10/597,525 | KEENE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | WILLIAM MCCALISTER | 3753 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: 71 | (amended after non-final) |
| (1) WILLIAM MCCALISTER. | (3) | |
| (2) TIMOTHY COLLINS. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 12 July 2011 | Time: <u>12 NOON</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: As set forth in the rejection attached hereto. | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: US 5,277,224 and US 4,711,268 | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| /WILLIAM MCCALISTER/ Examiner, Art Unit 3753 (A) | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |

Application No. 10/597,525

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A series of telephone conversations were held over the course of 7/12/11 to 8/2/11, during which the proposed rejection of claim 1 was discussed (as set forth in the attached rejection), Mr. Collins gave consideration to the proposed rejection, Mr. Collins suggested claim amendments, and the examiner considered the suggested claim amendments. However, no language could be agreed upon to put the application in condition for allowance.